UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/757,225	01/14/2004	Michael B. Jones	17771-298586	3261
25764 FAEGRE & BI	7590 12/19/2007 ENSON LLP		EXAM	INER
PATENT DOCKETING  2200 WELLS FARGO CENTER  BOECKMANN, JASON J				IN, JASON J
	VENTH STREET		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
MINNEAPOL	IS, MN 55402-3901		3752	
•			<b>(</b>	
,			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			12/19/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

				MR		
,		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		10/757,225	JONES ET AL.			
		Examiner	Art Unit			
		Jason J. Boeckmann	3752			
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication Reply	on appears on the cover sheet with	the correspondence address -	•		
WHIC - Exte after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR F CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILIN nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 ( SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communicat operiod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory tre to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by reply received by the Office later than three months after the ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	NG DATE OF THIS COMMUNICA CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply ion. period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTH: y statute, cause the application to become ABAN	TION.  be timely filed  from the mailing date of this communication  DONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status						
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on	02 October 2007.				
		This action is non-final.				
3)□	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
	closed in accordance with the practice ur	nder <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 1	1, 453 O.G. 213.			
Disposit	ion of Claims					
4)⊠	Claim(s) <u>1-20,22,23 and 26</u> is/are pendin	ng in the application.				
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are wi	•				
5)	Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6)⊠	Claim(s) <u>1-9,19,20,22,23 and 26</u> is/are re	ejected.				
7)🖂	Claim(s) <u>10-18</u> is/are objected to.					
8)	Claim(s) are subject to restriction	and/or election requirement.				
Applicat	ion Papers					
9)[	The specification is objected to by the Ex	aminer.				
10)⊠	The drawing(s) filed on 29 September 20	$\underline{06}$ is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b) $\Box$ o	bjected to by the Examiner.			
	Applicant may not request that any objection	to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance	. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).			
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the	correction is required if the drawing(s)	is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.12	.1(d).		
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to by t	the Examiner. Note the attached C	Office Action or form PTO-152	) 		
Priority (	under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
a)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for for All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority docu 2. Certified copies of the priority docu 3. Copies of the certified copies of the application from the International Election for	uments have been received.  uments have been received in App e priority documents have been re Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	lication No ceived in this National Stage			
Attachmen	et(s) ce of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) ☐ Interview Sum	nmary (PTO-413)			
2) Notice 3) Information	ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-94 mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) or No(s)/Mail Date	48) Paper No(s)/N	Mail Date rmal Patent Application			

### **DETAILED ACTION**

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claim 26 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Amundsen (5,485,857).

Regarding claim 26, Amundsen shows an apparatus capable for attachment to an extended suction set of the type having a double lumen hose, comprising: a double lumen fitting (the outlet of channel 34 and the inlet of channel 36) that is capable of receiving a dual hose fitting, an integral female hose coupling (the inlet of channel 34) that is capable of receiving a male hose coupling, and a fluid passageway providing a fluid communication path from the female hose coupling to the double lumen fitting such that when the garden hose is attached to the female hose coupling (18), such that substantially all the water flowing through the garden hose will be directed through the cleaning cap and both of the double lumens of the extend suction set.

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

Application/Control Number: 10/757,225

Art Unit: 3752

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

Claims 1-3, 6-9, 19, 20, 22 and 23 are rejected, as best understood, under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Lewis (6,488,216) in view of Pujol (6,328,639).

Regarding claim 1, Lewis shows an apparatus comprising; a paint cup (33), a cleaning cap (14) having: a first fitting (where the lid connects to the cup) for receiving a paint cup (33), a second fitting (16), that is capable of being connected to a garden hose, and having at least one aperture (17) in fluid communication therewith, with substantially all the fluid being directed into the paint cup (figure 1), and an outlet passage (20) providing an outlet fluid communication path from an interior of the cap to an exterior of the cap, and at least one barrier (26) in the outlet passageway blocking the expulsion of any one of a set of parts from within the paint cup (the barrier 26 would

in fact block parts form leaving through the outlet 20), wherein the at least one barrier is

a plurality of fins (the crosshatch that makes up the barrier) aligned with a direction of

fluid flow in the outlet fluid communication path, but does not specifically disclose that

the paint cup includes parts to be cleaned which had been in contact with paint form the

paint spray gun.

However, Pujol shows a cup (32) that is used to clean small parts that have been in contact with paint (column 3, lines 45-50) from a paint spray gun, with a high-pressure fluid. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the applicant's invention to clean small parts that have been in contact with paint form the paint spray gun into the paint cup in order to clean them, so that the small

parts do not get lost, as taught by Pujol (abstract).

Regarding claim 2, the Lewis as modified by Pujol, does not disclose that the first fitting includes a first set of threads. However, Pujol shows a cap (40) that is attached to a cup (32) via threads (column 3, line 30). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the applicant's invention to add threads to the first fitting of the cleaning cap, in order to make the cap easily removable.

Regarding claim 3, the second fitting of the cleaning cap has a second set of threads (figure 2).

Regarding claim 6, the cleaning cap (14) has an inlet fluid communication path (17) from the second fitting to the interior of the cap

Regarding claims 7-9, the inlet fluid communication path includes first and second apertures (any two of the holes in the radial grid (cross bars) shown to be

Art Unit: 3752

located in the inlet (17) of figure 3, the first and second apertures being of sufficient size to prevent the set of parts which are contained in the paint cup from passing through the apertures.

Regarding claims 20, 22 and 23, the outlet passage includes a fluid permeable barrier (26) that has openings sufficiently large to permit the flow of water from the interior to the exterior of the cap wherein the openings are small enough to block the expulsion of any of the parts to be cleaned, wherein the at least one barrier is a plurality of fins (the crosshatch that makes up the barrier) aligned with a direction of fluid flow in the outlet fluid communication path, the distance between the fins being smaller than the smallest part of be cleaned.

Claims 4 and 5 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Lewis (6,488,216) in view of Pujol (6,328,639), further in view of Hubert (3,194,444).

Regarding claims 4 and 5, Lewis as modified by Pujol does not specifically disclose that the second set of threads are female three quarter by eleven and a half standard hose coupling threads. However, Hubert shows a cleaning devise similar to that of the present invention that has a female hose fitting that is used for a conventional garden hose. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the applicant's invention to make the second set of threads female three quarter by eleven and a half standard hose coupling threads in order to be able to connect the cleaning device to a conventional garden hose.

Claims 10-18 would be allowable if rewritten to overcome the rejection(s) under 35 U.S.C. 112, 2nd paragraph, set forth in this Office action and to include all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

## Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed 10/2/2007 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Regarding the applicant's arguments concerning the 102 rejection of claim 26 as anticipated by Amundsen, it is respectively noted that when a garden hose is connected to the inlet channel 34, all the fluid from the garden hose will flow through the inlet lumen and fill up the container 40. After the container is full substantially all the fluid that comes form the garden hose will then flow into the second lumen (36) of the double lumen set. It is also noted that since the claim uses the transitional word "including," at the end of the preamble, the prior art used to reject the claim can include additional items not recited in the claim, such as the container 40.

Regarding the applicant's arguments concerning the 103 rejection of Lewis in view of Pujol, it is respectively noted that element 20 is an outlet to the container 33. Lewis disclosed element 20 as a pressure release member, and since it is used to get fluid from inside the container to the exterior of the container, then it is by definition a

Art Unit: 3752

fluid outlet. Element 20 includes a barrier 26, that will prevent any parts that are inside the paint cup (33) form exiting through the particular outlet (20).

Regarding applicant's arguments towards claim 7, the grid shown in figure 3 creates first and second apertures in the inlet fluid communication path.

#### Conclusion

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jason J. Boeckmann whose telephone number is (571) 272-2708. The examiner can normally be reached on 7:30 - 5:00 m-f, first Friday off.

Application/Control Number: 10/757,225

Art Unit: 3752

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Kevin P. Shaver can be reached on (571) 272-4720. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

JJB 110 12/16/07

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3700

Page 8